In re Appln. of TAKEUCHI et al. Application No. 10/721,893

REMARKS

Upon review of the claims after allowance of the patent application, it was discovered that there may be an ambiguity with regard to antecedent basis in claims 6, 8, and 10. The induction interruption switches mentioned in those claims are already described in claim 2 from which those three claims depend. Accordingly, this Amendment merely clarifies a minor error inadvertently introduced in correcting other informalities in the Preliminary Amendment. The other amendments merely conform articles within the claims. Entry is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey A. Wyand, Reg/No. 29,458

LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER

700 Thirteenth Street, N.W., Suite 300

Washington, DC 20005-3960 (202) 737-6770 (telephone) (202) 737-6776 (facsimile)

IAW·ves